

Barbara A. Cotter - 142590
Joseph R. Wheeler - 216721
MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY
701 University Avenue, Suite 150
Sacramento, CA 95825
Telephone: (916) 565-0300
Facsimile: (916) 565-1636
Attorneys for Defendant
NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

Charles R. Roe - 112732
SAMUELSON, WILSON & ROE
210 N. Fourth Street, Suite 201
San Jose, CA 95112
Telephone: (408) 790-5320
Facsimile: (408) 295-5799
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
HARRY A. CHRISTOV and IGOR SMIRNOV

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE BRANCH

E-FILED - 1/6/06

HARRY A. CHRISTOV and IGOR SMIRNOV

Plaintiff,

v.

NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.: C05 03566 RMW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

ADR CERTIFICATION Feb. 17
Case Management Conf.: January 10, 2006
Time: 2:00 p.m. 10:30 am.m
Dept.: 5 6, 4th flr.

The parties stipulate to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

___ Arbitration ___ ENE ___ Mediation

Private Process:

X Private ADR

The parties agree to conduct a private mediation within 90 days of the case management conference. The parties will select a mediator from the San Jose JAMS office or from the Santa Clara County Court panel.

(Signatures on page 2.)

Dec-28-05 10:27 From: Murphy, Pearson, Bradley, Feeney +816-565-1637 T-459 P.000/015 F-520

MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY

Dated: 1/3/06

By: Barbara A. Cotter
Attorneys for Defendant
NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

SAMUELSON, WILSON & ROE

Dated: 1-03-06

By: Charles R. Roe
Charles R. Roe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
HARRY A. CHRISTOV and IGOR SMIRNOV

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: 1/6/06

/s/ Ronald M. Whyte
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 16 and ADR L.R. 3-5(b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Disputed Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR Unit's Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov, discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit from any of them.

Dated: 12/30/2005

HARRY A. CHRISTOV
Plaintiff, HARRY A. CHRISTOV

Dated: 12/30/2005

IGOR SMIRNOV
Plaintiff, IGOR SMIRNOV

(Additional signatures on page 3.)

- 2 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS (ADR CERTIFICATION)

JAN-03-2006 TUE 02:18 PM

FAX NO.

P. 10/15

Doc-20-99 10:27 From: Murphy, Pearson, Bradley, Feeney

+918-885-1887

T-498 P.010/018 P-329

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 1-03-06

SAMUELSON, WILSON & ROE

By: 
CHARLES R. ROE
Attorney for Plaintiffs


Dated: January 3, 2006

NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

By: 
Defendant, NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

Dated: 1/3/06

MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY

By: 
Barbara A. Cotter
Attorney for Defendant
NOVELLUS SYSTEMS, INC.

IIAC.10291466.doc

- 3 -

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS (ADR CERTIFICATION)

Received Jan-03-06 14:08

From

To

Page 010

Received Jan-04-06 12:08

From-408 3243939

To-

Page 004